Quantum Time-Space Tradeoffs for Deciding Systems of Linear Inequalities

Robert Špalek

sr@cwi.nl



joint work with Andris Ambainis and Ronald de Wolf

quant-ph/0511200

Robert Špalek, CWI – Quantum Time-Space Tradeoffs for Deciding Systems of Linear Inequalities – p.1/17

Time-Space Tradeoffs

• A relation between the running time and space complexity

The more memory is available, the faster the algorithm can possibly run.

Time-Space Tradeoffs

• A relation between the running time and space complexity

The more memory is available, the faster the algorithm can possibly run.

• Example: sorting of *N* numbers

 $TS = N^2$

Systems of Linear Inequalities

- Let A be a fixed $N \times N$ Boolean matrix Let x, b be integer input vectors of length N
- The task is to output for each row whether

 $Ax \ge b$

Systems of Linear Inequalities

- Let A be a fixed $N \times N$ Boolean matrix Let x, b be integer input vectors of length N
- The task is to output for each row whether

$Ax \ge b$

We study the query complexity with bounded error
 Classically

$$TS = N^2$$

Systems of Linear Inequalities

- Let A be a fixed $N \times N$ Boolean matrix Let x, b be integer input vectors of length N
- The task is to output for each row whether

 $Ax \ge b$

We study the query complexity with bounded error
 Classically

$$TS = N^2$$

Quantumly

$$T^2 S = N^3 t, \quad S \le N/t$$
$$TS = N^2, \quad S > N/t$$

if numbers in b are at most t

• Omitting log-factors in the upper bounds

Upper Bound

• Split the matrix into $(N/S)^2$ blocks of size $S \times S$



- Split the matrix into $(N/S)^2$ blocks of size $S \times S$
- Evaluate the output row-wise
 - $^{\circ}\,$ maintain S counters at the same time
 - $^{\circ}$ in each of the N/S blocks, read S inputs and update all counters using the *fixed* matrix A



- Split the matrix into $(N/S)^2$ blocks of size $S \times S$
- Evaluate the output row-wise
 - $^{\circ}\,$ maintain S counters at the same time
 - $^\circ\,$ in each of the N/S blocks, read S inputs and update all counters using the *fixed* matrix A
- The query complexity is

$$T = \frac{N}{S} \cdot \left(\frac{N}{S} \cdot S\right) = \frac{N^2}{S}$$

when the space is S



- Split the matrix into $(N/S)^2$ blocks of size $S \times S$
- Evaluate the output row-wise
 - $^{\circ}\,$ maintain S counters at the same time
 - $^\circ\,$ in each of the N/S blocks, read S inputs and update all counters using the *fixed* matrix A
- The query complexity is

$$T = \frac{N}{S} \cdot \left(\frac{N}{S} \cdot S\right) = \frac{N^2}{S}$$

when the space is S $TS \leq N^2$



• Split the matrix into N/S row blocks of height S



- Split the matrix into N/S row blocks of height S
- Evaluate the output row-wise
 - $^{\circ}\,$ maintain S counters at the same time
 - use *quantum counting* and *Grover search* to find non-zero inputs
 - $^\circ~$ the speedup is $N \rightarrow \sqrt{NSt}$ per row block



- Split the matrix into N/S row blocks of height S
- Evaluate the output row-wise
 - $^{\circ}\,$ maintain S counters at the same time
 - use *quantum counting* and *Grover search* to find non-zero inputs
 - $^\circ~$ the speedup is $N \rightarrow \sqrt{NSt}$ per row block
- The query complexity is

$$T = \frac{N}{S} \cdot \sqrt{NSt} = N^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{t}{S}}$$

when the space is S



- Split the matrix into N/S row blocks of height S
- Evaluate the output row-wise
 - $^{\circ}\,$ maintain S counters at the same time
 - use *quantum counting* and *Grover search* to find non-zero inputs
 - $^\circ~$ the speedup is $N \rightarrow \sqrt{NSt}$ per row block
- The query complexity is

$$T = \frac{N}{S} \cdot \sqrt{NSt} = N^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{t}{S}}$$

when the space is S $T^2S < N^3t$



- y vector of counters
- U set of *open rows* with $y_i < b_i$
- a column sum of A over the rows from U



- *y* vector of counters
- U set of *open rows* with $y_i < b_i$
- a column sum of A over the rows from U



Start at position $p \leftarrow 1$ and with $U \leftarrow [1, S]$.

- *y* vector of counters
- U set of open rows with $y_i < b_i$
- a column sum of A over the rows from U



While $p \leq N$ and $U \neq \emptyset$, do

• Find by binary search some k such that

$$S \le \sum_{j=p}^{p+k-1} a_j x_j \le 2S$$

... quantum counting

- *y* vector of counters
- U set of open rows with $y_i < b_i$
- a column sum of A over the rows from U



While $p \leq N$ and $U \neq \emptyset$, do

• Find by binary search some k such that

$$S \le \sum_{j=p}^{p+k-1} a_j x_j \le 2S$$

... quantum counting

• Find all positions j inside [p, p + k - 1] such that $a_j x_j > 0$... quantum search

- *y* vector of counters
- U set of open rows with $y_i < b_i$
- a column sum of A over the rows from U



While $p \leq N$ and $U \neq \emptyset$, do

• Find by binary search some k such that

$$S \le \sum_{j=p}^{p+k-1} a_j x_j \le 2S$$

... quantum counting

- Find all positions j inside [p, p + k 1] such that $a_j x_j > 0$... quantum search
- Update the counters *y*, remove from *U* the rows that have been closed in this iteration, and set *p* ← *p* + *k*

Complexity of the Algorithm

i:	1	2	3	4	5
----	---	---	---	---	---

In the *i*-th iteration of length k_i ,

- cost of quantum counting with $\sqrt{k_i}$ queries is negligible
- quantum search costs $\sqrt{k_i r_i t} + \sqrt{k_i s_i}$, where
 - \circ r_i is the number of closed rows
 - $\circ s_i$ is the total number added to counters in this iteration

Complexity of the Algorithm

i:	1	2	3	4	5
----	---	---	---	---	---

In the *i*-th iteration of length k_i ,

- cost of quantum counting with $\sqrt{k_i}$ queries is negligible
- quantum search costs $\sqrt{k_i r_i t} + \sqrt{k_i s_i}$, where
 - \circ r_i is the number of closed rows
 - $\circ s_i$ is the total number added to counters in this iteration

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$T = \sum_{i} \left(\sqrt{k_{i}r_{i}t} + \sqrt{k_{i}s_{i}} \right)$$
$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i} k_{i}} \sqrt{t\sum_{i} r_{i}} + \sqrt{\sum_{i} k_{i}} \sqrt{\sum_{i} s_{i}}$$
$$\leq \sqrt{NSt}$$

Lower Bound

Direct Product Theorems

• Suppose we need T(f) queries to compute f with small error. How hard is it to compute k independent instances $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_k)$?

Direct Product Theorems

- Suppose we need T(f) queries to compute f with small error. How hard is it to compute k independent instances $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_k)$?
- Relation between total number of queries T and overall success probability σ :

$$T \le \alpha k \cdot T(f) \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$$

 α, γ are small positive constants

Direct Product Theorems

- Suppose we need T(f) queries to compute f with small error. How hard is it to compute k independent instances $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_k)$?
- Relation between total number of queries T and overall success probability σ :

$$T \le \alpha k \cdot T(f) \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$$

 α, γ are small positive constants

It is not known, whether the DPT holds in general!

[Shaltiel, 2001] Counterexample for average-case complexity. However, DPT plausible for worst-case complexity. Symmetric Functions

A function f is symmetric iff it only depends on the Hamming weight of the input

Symmetric Functions

A function f is symmetric iff it only depends on the Hamming weight of the input

- *Implicit threshold* is the minimal t such that f is constant on [t, n t]. Example:
 - \circ OR and AND have t = 1
 - $^{\circ}$ parity and majority have $t = \frac{n}{2}$
 - \circ *a*-threshold function with $a \leq \frac{n}{2}$ has t = a

Symmetric Functions

A function f is symmetric iff it only depends on the Hamming weight of the input

- *Implicit threshold* is the minimal t such that f is constant on [t, n t]. Example:
 - \circ OR and AND have t = 1
 - \circ parity and majority have $t = \frac{n}{2}$
 - \circ *a*-threshold function with $a \leq \frac{n}{2}$ has t = a
- Bounded-error quantum query complexity of a symmetric function is

$$Q_2(f) = \Theta(\sqrt{tn})$$

Quantum Query DPT

• [Klauck, Š, de Wolf, FOCS 2004] DPT for k instances of the OR function

$$T \le \alpha k \sqrt{n} \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$$

using the polynomial method

Quantum Query DPT

• [Klauck, Š, de Wolf, FOCS 2004] DPT for k instances of the OR function

$$T \le \alpha k \sqrt{n} \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$$

using the polynomial method

 [Ambainis, 2005] Reproves [KŠW] using adversary arguments.

Quantum Query DPT

[Klauck, Š, de Wolf, FOCS 2004]
 DPT for k instances of the OR function

$$T \le \alpha k \sqrt{n} \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$$

using the polynomial method

- [Ambainis, 2005] Reproves [KŠW] using adversary arguments.
- [Ambainis, Š, de Wolf, 2005]
 Generalize [KŠW, Amb] to all symmetric functions *f*.

$$T \le \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$$

 $t \mbox{ is the implicit threshold of } f$

[Klauck, Š, de Wolf, 2004] Using probabilistic method,

• For every $k = o(N/\log N)$,

[Klauck, Š, de Wolf, 2004] Using probabilistic method,

- For every $k = o(N/\log N)$,
- there is an $N \times N$ Boolean matrix A such that all rows of A have weight N/2k,

```
\infty \infty
                 00
                      \infty
 Ο
 \infty \infty
              00
                       \cap
0 \infty 0
          0 000 00
                       A
      0 000 000
                   \infty
  00000
                 0 000
      \infty
                   Ο
                     \infty
00
             Ο
\infty
      0000
              00000
              0 \infty 0 0
 0 \infty
\infty \infty
                   00
```

[Klauck, Š, de Wolf, 2004] Using probabilistic method,

- For every $k = o(N/\log N)$,
- there is an $N \times N$ Boolean matrix A such that all rows of A have weight N/2k,
- and every set of k rows of A



[Klauck, Š, de Wolf, 2004] Using probabilistic method,

• For every $k = o(N/\log N)$,



- there is an $N \times N$ Boolean matrix A such that all rows of A have weight N/2k,
- and every set of k rows of A
- contains a set R of k/2 rows with the following property:

[Klauck, Š, de Wolf, 2004] Using probabilistic method,

• For every $k = o(N/\log N)$,



- there is an $N \times N$ Boolean matrix A such that all rows of A have weight N/2k,
- and every set of k rows of A
- contains a set R of k/2 rows with the following property:
- each row in R contains at least N/6k ones that occur in no other row of R.

[Klauck, Š, de Wolf, 2004] Using probabilistic method,

• For every $k = o(N/\log N)$,



- there is an $N \times N$ Boolean matrix A such that all rows of A have weight N/2k,
- and every set of k rows of A
- contains a set R of k/2 rows with the following property:
- each row in R contains at least N/6k ones that occur in no other row of R.

Proof: pick N/2k ones at random in each row.

• Slice the circuit into $\frac{T}{\alpha\sqrt{tSN}}$ slices, each containing $\alpha\sqrt{tSN}$ queries

- Slice the circuit into $\frac{T}{\alpha\sqrt{tSN}}$ slices, each containing $\alpha\sqrt{tSN}$ queries
- Let k be the maximal number of output gates in a slice



- Slice the circuit into $\frac{T}{\alpha\sqrt{tSN}}$ slices, each containing $\alpha\sqrt{tSN}$ queries
- Let k be the maximal number of output gates in a slice



• We show that k = O(S) due to the DPT

Robert Špalek, CWI – Quantum Time-Space Tradeoffs for Deciding Systems of Linear Inequalities – p.14/17

- Slice the circuit into $\frac{T}{\alpha\sqrt{tSN}}$ slices, each containing $\alpha\sqrt{tSN}$ queries
- Let k be the maximal number of output gates in a slice



• We show that k = O(S) due to the DPT

•
$$N \leq \# \text{ slices } \cdot k = O\left(\frac{T\sqrt{S}}{\alpha\sqrt{tN}}\right)$$
, hence $T^2S = \Omega(N^3t)$

If k < S, then certainly k = O(S), so assume $k \ge S$

If k < S, then certainly k = O(S), so assume $k \ge S$

• Within the maximal slice, the circuit outputs whether $(Ax)_i \ge b_i$ for k distinct rows i with overall probability $\ge 2/3$

If k < S, then certainly k = O(S), so assume $k \ge S$

- Within the maximal slice, the circuit outputs whether $(Ax)_i \ge b_i$ for k distinct rows i with overall probability $\ge 2/3$
- Use the hard matrix A with many disjoint ones. The algorithm computes k/2 independent *t*-threshold functions with n = N/6k bits each.

If k < S, then certainly k = O(S), so assume $k \ge S$

- Within the maximal slice, the circuit outputs whether $(Ax)_i \ge b_i$ for k distinct rows i with overall probability $\ge 2/3$
- Use the hard matrix A with many disjoint ones. The algorithm computes k/2 independent *t*-threshold functions with n = N/6k bits each.
- Replace *S*-qubit starting state by completely mixed state; overlap with correct state is 2^{-S} , hence we get a circuit for Threshold^(k/2)_{n,t} with probability $\sigma \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^{-S}$

If k < S, then certainly k = O(S), so assume $k \ge S$

- Within the maximal slice, the circuit outputs whether $(Ax)_i \ge b_i$ for k distinct rows i with overall probability $\ge 2/3$
- Use the hard matrix A with many disjoint ones. The algorithm computes k/2 independent *t*-threshold functions with n = N/6k bits each.
- Replace *S*-qubit starting state by completely mixed state; overlap with correct state is 2^{-S} , hence we get a circuit for Threshold^(k/2)_{n,t} with probability $\sigma \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^{-S}$
- However the number of queries

 $T = \alpha \sqrt{tSN} \le \alpha \sqrt{tkN} = \alpha k \sqrt{tn}$, hence by DPT $\sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$

If k < S, then certainly k = O(S), so assume $k \ge S$

- Within the maximal slice, the circuit outputs whether $(Ax)_i \ge b_i$ for k distinct rows i with overall probability $\ge 2/3$
- Use the hard matrix A with many disjoint ones. The algorithm computes k/2 independent *t*-threshold functions with n = N/6k bits each.
- Replace *S*-qubit starting state by completely mixed state; overlap with correct state is 2^{-S} , hence we get a circuit for Threshold^(k/2)_{n,t} with probability $\sigma \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^{-S}$
- However the number of queries

 $T = \alpha \sqrt{tSN} \le \alpha \sqrt{tkN} = \alpha k \sqrt{tn}$, hence by DPT $\sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$

Conclude that k = O(S)

If k < S, then certainly k = O(S), so assume $k \ge S$

- Within the maximal slice, the circuit outputs whether $(Ax)_i \ge b_i$ for k distinct rows i with overall probability $\ge 2/3$
- Use the hard matrix A with many disjoint ones. The algorithm computes k/2 independent t-threshold functions with n = N/6k bits each. ⇐ we need t ≤ n/2 = O(N/S)
- Replace *S*-qubit starting state by completely mixed state; overlap with correct state is 2^{-S} , hence we get a circuit for Threshold^(k/2)_{n,t} with probability $\sigma \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^{-S}$
- However the number of queries

 $T = \alpha \sqrt{tSN} \le \alpha \sqrt{tkN} = \alpha k \sqrt{tn}$, hence by DPT $\sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$

Conclude that k = O(S)

• Stronger direct product theorem for threshold functions for one-sided error algorithms that never say $|x| \ge t$ in any instance if it is not true

$$T \le \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma kt}$$

 Stronger direct product theorem for threshold functions for one-sided error algorithms that never say $|x| \ge t$ in any instance if it is not true

 $T \le \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma < 2^{-\gamma k t}$

(two-sided symmetric: $T \leq \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma \leq 2^{-\gamma k}$)

• Stronger direct product theorem for threshold functions for one-sided error algorithms that never say $|x| \ge t$ in any instance if it is not true

(two-sided symmetric: $T \leq \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma \leq 2^{-\gamma k}$)

The same slicing approach (with different slice-size) gives

$$T^2 S \ge N^3 t^2, \quad t \le S \le N/t^2$$
$$TS = N^2, \qquad S > N/t^2$$

 $T < \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma < 2^{-\gamma k t}$

• Stronger direct product theorem for threshold functions for one-sided error algorithms that never say $|x| \ge t$ in any instance if it is not true

(two-sided symmetric: $T \le \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma \le 2^{-\gamma k}$)

The same slicing approach (with different slice-size) gives

$$T^2 S \ge N^3 t^2, \quad t \le S \le N/t^2$$
$$TS = N^2, \qquad S > N/t^2$$

• We do not have a matching upper bound, and we conjecture that the lower bound is not tight

 $T < \alpha k \sqrt{tn} \Rightarrow \sigma < 2^{-\gamma k t}$

• Quantum search speeds up the evaluation of a system of linear inequalities when the space is small

 $T^2S \leq N^3t$ for $S \leq N/t$

• Quantum search speeds up the evaluation of a system of linear inequalities when the space is small

 $T^2S \leq N^3t$ for $S \leq N/t$

• If space is big, then quantum computers offer no speedup over classical computers

 $TS \leq N^2$ for S > N/t

• Quantum search speeds up the evaluation of a system of linear inequalities when the space is small

 $T^2S \leq N^3t$ for $S \leq N/t$

• If space is big, then quantum computers offer no speedup over classical computers

 $TS \leq N^2$ for S > N/t

A matching lower bound proved using direct product theorems

• Quantum search speeds up the evaluation of a system of linear inequalities when the space is small

 $T^2S \leq N^3t$ for $S \leq N/t$

 If space is big, then quantum computers offer no speedup over classical computers

 $TS \leq N^2$ for S > N/t

- A matching lower bound proved using direct product theorems
- For one-sided error algorithms the lower bound is stronger